The Contribution of Cardiorespiratory Fitness and Visceral Fat to Risk Factors in Japanese Patients With Impaired Glucose Tolerance and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus

M. Nagano, Y. Kai, B. Zou, T. Hatayama, M. Suwa, H. Sasaki, and S. Kumagai

It is still unclear as to how cardiorespiratory fitness and visceral fat accumulation contribute to coronary heart disease (CHD) risk factors in patients with diabetes mellitus. The purpose of the present study was to investigate whether cardiorespiratory fitness contributes to such risk factors independently of visceral fat accumulation. Two hundred Japanese patients (137 men and 63 women, aged 22 to 81 years) with impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) and type 2 diabetes mellitus (type 2 DM) without any intervention and pharmacological therapy participated in a cross-sectional study. The levels of fasting insulin, triglyceride (TG), total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and resting blood pressure were assessed. Maximal oxygen uptake (Vo_{2max}), an index of cardiorespiratory fitness, was predicted by a graded exercise test using a cycle ergometer. Visceral fat area (VFA) was measured by computed tomography scan. The criteria for abnormalities of the risk factors were determined according to the standard values for Japanese. All subjects were divided equally into the following 3 groups according to their fitness level: low-fit (Vo_{2max} < 32 mL/kg/min in men, Vo_{2max} < 26 mL/kg/min in women), mid-fit $(32 \le \dot{V}o_{2max} < 36 \text{ in men, } 26 \le \dot{V}o_{2max} < 30 \text{ in women})$, and high-fit $(\dot{V}o_{2max} \ge 36 \text{ in men, } \dot{V}o_{2max} \ge 30 \text{ in women})$. The association between fitness level and the prevalence of abnormal values for these parameters was analyzed by a multiple logistic regression model adjusted for age and VFA. The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for the prevalence of hyperinsulinemia were significantly lower in the mid-fit (OR = 0.35, 95% CI, 0.16 to 0.78) and in the high-fit groups (OR = 0.40, 95% CI, 0.16 to 0.98) compared with the low-fit group. In addition, ORs for the prevalence of low HDL-C in the mid-fit and high-fit groups were significantly lower (OR = 0.35, 95% CI, 0.14 to 0.86; and OR = 0.19; 95% CI, 0.08 to 0.60, respectively) than in the low-fit group. These results suggested that cardiorespiratory fitness might be one of the predictors of metabolic abnormalities, especially in patients with hyperinsulinemia and low HDL-C, independent of visceral fat accumulation in Japanese patients with IGT and type 2 DM.

© 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

THE ASSOCIATION of visceral fat accumulation and metabolic impairment is widely known.¹⁻³ Nagaretani et al³ reported that Japanese patients with impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) had a greater visceral fat area (VFA) and more unfavorable profile of risk factors than controls. They simultaneously pointed out that VFA was an independent factor of clustering of metabolic abnormalities such as hyperinsulinemia, dyslipidemia, and hypertension regardless of the presence/absence of glucose intolerance. The clustering of these risk factors has previously been described as "syndrome X"⁴ and "the deadly quartet." In 1989, the World Health Organization proposed a definition for the clustering of these risk factors and called it the "metabolic syndrome." They are considered to be the result of an aggravation of insulin resistance, which is also strongly related to visceral fat accumulation.⁷⁻¹⁰

On the other hand, several studies have demonstrated the contribution of cardiorespiratory fitness and/or physical activity

From the Graduate School of Human-Environment Studies and Institute of Health Science, Kyushu University; and Second Division of Internal Medicine, Chikushi Hospital, Fukuoka University, Fukuoka, Japan.

Submitted June 27, 2003; accepted November 3, 2003.

Supported by grants for research project from the Japanese Association of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness, and from the Institute of Health Science, Kyushu University and Chikushi Hospital, Fukuoka University

Address reprint requests to Shuzo Kumagai PhD, Institute of Health Science, Kyushu University, 6-1 Kasuga Park, Kasuga City, Fukuoka, 816-8580, Japan.

© 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. 0026-0495/04/5305-0001\$30.00/0 doi:10.1016/j.metabol.2003.11.023

to such risk factors. A recent cross-sectional study¹¹ reported that fitness level evaluated by maximal exercise time during a treadmill test was inversely associated with a clustering of risk factors (elevated systolic blood pressure, hypertriglyceridemia, hyperglycemia, and elevated central adiposity) in a large sample (N = 19,437). Another cross-sectional study in middleaged men also indicated the contribution of cardiorespiratory fitness and physical activity to the lipid metabolism profile and fasting blood glucose level after adjusting for age and body mass index (BMI).¹² In addition, prospective studies by Wei et al¹³⁻¹⁵ reported that low cardiorespiratory fitness was an independent predictor to increase the risk of cardiovascular diseases and all-cause mortality after adjusting for other risk factors.

Both visceral fat accumulation and cardiorespiratory fitness are therefore considered to be significant predictors for metabolic abnormalities. However, it has yet to be confirmed which is an independent predictor of metabolic abnormality. Until now, few studies investigated the contribution of visceral fat accumulation and cardiorespiratory fitness to coronary heart disease (CHD) risk factors. 16-18 Kumagai et al 16 reported that cardiorespiratory fitness, defined as oxygen uptake at the onset of blood lactate accumulation, was independently related to triglyceride (TG), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C)/total cholesterol (TC), and insulin area, while the waist-tohip ratio (WHR), an indirect index of abdominal fat accumulation, was only related to TG independently in obese individuals. In obese postmenopausal women with normal metabolic profiles, cardiorespiratory fitness was the strongest predictor of HDL-C, while visceral fat accumulation was the strongest predictor of insulin sensitivity and TG.17 The available evidence concerning this matter remains insufficient. Especially regarding patients with IGT and type 2 DM who tend to demonstrate clusters of metabolic abnormalities,3 no report has so far investigated which factors may be independent predictors for each CHD risk factor. According to a prospective study by Batty et al, ¹⁹ physical activity evaluated by walking pace and level of leisure-time activity may play a beneficial role in reducing the CHD risk in men with IGT and type 2 DM. If so, a favorable level of cardiovascular fitness might effectively reduce the CHD risk even in the patients who have large amounts of visceral fat. Therefore, the present study attempted to investigate the independent contribution of visceral fat accumulation and cardiorespiratory fitness to hyperinsulinemia, dyslipidemia, and hypertension in patients with IGT and type 2 DM.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

Two hundred Japanese patients (137 men and 63 women, aged 22 to 81 years) who had been diagnosed as having IGT and type 2 DM by 75-g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) participated in this study. The pathological state was classified by the diagnostic criteria of the Committee of Japan Diabetes Society. Although 2 to 24 months passed from the time that the patients were determined to have an elevated blood glucose level at a group medical checkup, none had received any pharmacological therapy or intervention. The present study was conducted with the approval of the Ethics Committee of the Institute of Health Science, Kyushu University, and informed consent for all procedures was obtained from all patients.

Measurement of Metabolic Parameters

The values of metabolic parameters were obtained from the diagnostic test for diabetes mellitus. The subjects visited the hospital early in the morning after an overnight fasting of at least 12 hours. After taking fasting blood samples, a 75-g OGTT was performed. Blood samples were obtained at 30, 60, 120, and 180 minutes. Fasting insulin and fasting blood glucose concentrations were measured by a radioimmunoassay and an enzymatic method, respectively. Levels of fasting TG, TC, and HDL-C were assessed by the enzymatic method. The area under the curve for insulin (AUC $_{\rm IRI}$) and blood glucose (AUC $_{\rm BG}$) during the 75-g OGTT were also calculated by the trapezoidal rule using absolute values. Resting systolic (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were determined 3 times following a 30-minute rest period using a mercury sphygmomanometer, and the lowest values were used as the resting blood pressure. The subjects newly diagnosed to have IGT or type 2 DM were told to undergo an anthropometric evaluation and a fitness test as soon as possible. All of the subjects took the second assessment within 2 to 3 weeks from the diagnostic test.

Assessment of Lifestyle

The patients answered a questionnaire to assess their alcohol use, smoking habit, and weekly exercise habit. Concerning alcohol use and smoking habit, we regarded cases with no history of alcohol use and smoking as an "absence" of each habit. Regarding exercise, the frequency within 1 week, subjective intensity, duration, and period of the exercise were assessed.

Anthropometric Evaluation

BMI was calculated as weight (kilograms) divided by height (meters) squared. Body fat percentage (%Fat) was estimated based on the sum of the triceps and subscapular skinfolds measured with a skinfold caliper using Brozek's formula.²¹ Waist circumference was measured at the level of the umbilicus. Both visceral (VFA) and subcutaneous fat area (SFA) were automatically calculated by a com-

puter system connected to a computed tomography scan (Vigor Lau Dator, Toshiba, Japan) as described by Tokunaga et al. 22

Evaluation of Cardiorespiratory Fitness

Graded exercise tests using a cycle ergometer (Monark, Stockholm, Sweden) were performed to evaluate cardiorespiratory fitness by the same skilled examiner. Heart rate, electrocardiograms, and blood pressure were monitored and recorded during the test. Exercise intensity was increased 3 or 4 times every 4 minutes until the heart rate reached 70% of maximum or above. Maximal oxygen uptake ($\dot{V}o_{2max}$) was predicted by the nomogram of Åstrand and Rhyming, 23 a modality that is generally used to predict the $\dot{V}o_{2max}$, which is regarded as an index of cardiovascular fitness.

Criteria for Abnormality of Risk Factors

We defined the abnormalities in these risk factors using the following standard values for the Japanese population: high TC: TC \geq 220 mg/dL, 24 high TG: TG \geq 150 mg/dL, 24 low HDL-C: HDL-C < 40 mg/dL, 24 hypertension: SBP \geq 140 mm Hg and/or DBP \geq 90 mm Hg. 25 Regarding hyperinsulinemia, there is no standard diagnostic value for Japanese at present. We therefore adopted fasting insulin \geq 7 μ U/mL, a 75th percentile value of fasting insulin of Japanese male workers reported by Tamakoshi et al, 26 as the basic criteria for hyperinsulinemia in this study.

Classification of Cardiovascular Fitness

The subjects were divided equally into 3 groups according to their fitness level for each sex. The lower class, the middle class, and the higher class were regarded as (1) low-fit group: $\dot{V}o_{2max} < 32$ mL/kg/min in men and $\dot{V}o_{2max} < 26$ mL/kg/min in women; (2) mid-fit group: $32 \leq \dot{V}o_{2max} < 36$ in men and $26 \leq \dot{V}o_{2max} < 30$ in women; and (3) high-fit group: $\dot{V}o_{2max} \geq 36$ in men and $\dot{V}o_{2max} \geq 30$ in women, respectively.

Statistical Analysis

An analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Tukey-Kramer post-hoc test were used to compare the physical and metabolic characteristics of the IGT and type 2 DM groups in each sex. TG, fasting insulin, and AUC $_{\rm IRI}$ had skewed distributions and were analyzed after log-transformation (Table 1). Comparisons of the characteristics among the 3 different fitness groups were performed using a chi-square analysis and ANOVA (Table 2). The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for the prevalence of any abnormality in the risk factors were calculated using a multivariate logistic regression model based on the presence/absence of an abnormality for each risk factor as a dependent variable (Table 3). Stat View version 5.0 software (SAS Institute, Chicago, IL) was used for the analysis. Statistical significance was accepted at a value of P < .05.

RESULTS

Characteristics of Subjects

Table 1 shows the physical and metabolic characteristics of the patients with IGT and type 2 DM in both sexes. Significant differences among the 4 groups were observed in age, %Fat, $\dot{V}o_{2max}$, SFA, fasting blood glucose, AUC $_{BG}$, AUC $_{IRI}$, TG, and HDL-C by ANOVA. Significant pathology-related differences were recognized in age, fasting blood glucose, AUC $_{BG}$, and AUC $_{IRI}$ in men, and were recognized in age, fasting blood glucose, AUC $_{BG}$, and AUC $_{IRI}$ in women by the Tukey-Kramer post-hoc test. In addition, significant sex differences were recognized in %Fat, $\dot{V}o_{2max}$, and SFA in the patients with IGT,

646 NAGANO ET AL

Table 1. Characteristics of the Subjects

Valuables	Male		Female		Sex Difference	
	IGT (n = 31)	Type 2 DM (n = 106)	IGT (n = 17)	Type 2 DM (n = 46)	IGT	DM
Age (yr)	49.2 ± 9.9	54.2 ± 10.0†	47.4 ± 11.9	56.1 ± 9.1†		
BMI (kg/m²)	24.9 ± 4.8	24.6 ± 2.7	26.6 ± 5.6	25.6 ± 4.1		
% Fat	20.8 ± 8.9	20.0 ± 5.4	34.2 ± 12.4	35.3 ± 9.5	*	*
Vo _{2max} (mL/kg/min)	34.9 ± 6.2	33.9 ± 4.6	27.6 ± 7.3	28.8 ± 5.3	*	*
VFA (cm ²)	152.7 ± 56.5	170.8 ± 57.4	141.2 ± 43.9	153.7 ± 54.2		
SFA (cm ²)	150.8 ± 85.7	136.5 ± 67.4	240.5 ± 124.9	227.0 ± 84.2	*	*
Fasting blood glucose (mg/dL)	108.8 ± 9.9	$152.4 \pm 33.0 \dagger$	106.8 ± 12.5	$144.8 \pm 29.1 \dagger$		
Fasting insulin (µU/mL)	5.8 ± 3.1	6.9 ± 5.4	9.6 ± 6.0	6.8 ± 4.2		
AUC _{BG} (mg/dL)	460.2 ± 48.9	728.6 ± 155.8†	461.3 ± 40.6	708.6 ± 145.0†		
AUC _{IRI} (μU/mL)	143.9 ± 177.7	$87.3 \pm 76.8 \dagger$	197.3 ± 130.8	110.1 ± 89.4†		*
TC (mg/dL)	208.3 ± 37.0	219.2 ± 36.4	221.5 ± 38.7	230.7 ± 37.7		
TG (mg/dL)	136.0 ± 75.6	166.8 ± 108.6	100.5 ± 41.2	134.4 ± 89.2		
HDL-C (mg/dL)	50.7 ± 13.9	48.9 ± 12.3	56.9 ± 15.2	56.8 ± 14.5		*
SBP (mm Hg)	132.4 ± 17.3	131.4 ± 15.8	124.5 ± 14.5	136.8 ± 22.8		
DBP (mm Hg)	84.7 ± 10.3	82.8 ± 10.9	77.1 ± 9.2	84.4 ± 12.5		

NOTE. Values are means \pm SD.

and were recognized in %Fat, $\dot{V}o_{2max}$, SFA, AUC_{IRI}, and HDL- C in the patients with type 2 DM by the post-hoc test.

The subjects were divided into 3 groups according their fitness level as presented in Table 2. No significant differences were observed in the percentage of male/female, IGT/type 2 DM, presence/absence of alcohol use, and smoking habit by the chi-square analysis. A significant difference was observed in

percentage of presence/absence of exercise habit at least once per week among the 3 groups. In addition, significant differences were recognized in age, BMI, $\dot{V}o_{2max}$, waist girth, VFA, SFA, fasting insulin, TG, HDL-C, SBP, and DBP among the 3 groups. No significant difference was observed in TC. Further, significant differences were observed in the prevalence of hyperinsulinemia, low HDL-C, and hypertension among the 3

Table 2. Characteristics of Subjects Classified Into Three Cardiovascular Fitness Levels

	Fitness Category			
Valuables	Low (n = 65)	Moderate (n = 70)	High (n = 65)	P
Male/female (%) [†]	72.3/27.7	65.7/34.3	67.7/32.3	
IGT/type 2 DM (%) [†]	24.6/75.4	22.9/77.1	24.6/75.4	
Alcohol use (no/yes, %) [†]	26.6/73.4	34.8/65.2	38.1/61.9	
Smoking habit (no/yes, %) [†]	44.4/55.6	59.4/40.6	53.2/46.8	
Regular exercise (no/yes, %)†	57.7/42.3	39.0/61.0	33.3/66.7	*
Age (yr)	48.7 ± 13.8	55.5 ± 8.9	51.9 ± 12.0	*
BMI (kg/m ²)	28.7 ± 5.4	24.4 ± 2.5	23.1 ± 2.7	*
Vo _{2max} (mL/kg/min)	27.3 ± 4.0	31.7 ± 3.1	38.2 ± 4.9	*
Waist girth (cm)	95.8 ± 11.7	86.7 ± 5.8	83.3 ± 7.5	*
VFA (cm ²)	197.8 ± 60.1	160.1 ± 52.7	125.6 ± 42.9	*
SFA (cm ²)	229.5 ± 127.4	151.2 ± 63.6	137.2 ± 60.6	*
Fasting insulin (μU/mL)	10.7 ± 8.4	6.1 ± 3.1	5.2 ± 2.8	*
TC (mg/dl)	222.2 ± 38.9	222.6 ± 35.9	216.2 ± 36.0	
TG (mg/dL)	172.7 ± 119.1	151.2 ± 90.8	123.8 ± 65.6	*
HDL-C (mg/dL)	47.1 ± 14.0	54.0 ± 14.8	53.7 ± 10.7	*
SBP (mm Hg)	134.5 ± 16.0	134.4 ± 19.6	126.5 ± 16.7	*
DBP (mm Hg)	85.6 ± 10.7	84.1 ± 11.1	78.5 ± 10.8	*
Prevalence of hyperinsulinemia (no/yes, %) [†]	43.1/56.9	77.1/22.9	80.0/20.0	*
Prevalence of high TC (no/yes, %) [†]	52.3/47.7	41.4/58.6	53.8/46.2	
Prevalence of high TG (no/yes, %) [†]	55.4/44.6	62.9/37.1	73.8/26.2	
Prevalence of low HDL-C (no/yes, %)†	67.2/32.8	85.7/14.3	92.3/7.7	*
Prevalence of hypertension (no/yes, %) [†]	48.4/51.6	59.4/40.6	73.4/26.6	*

NOTE. Values are means \pm SD.

^{*}Significant sex difference (P < .05) in IGT and type 2 DM patients by the post-hoc test.

[†]Significant difference (P < .05) between IGT and type 2 DM patients in each sex by the post-hoc test.

^{*}Significant difference (P < .05) among the 3 groups.

[†]The chi-square analysis was used.

Table 3. Odds Ratios of Prevalence of Abnormal Values for the Metabolic Parameters Classified by Fitness Level

			•		
		Mid-Fit		High-Fit	
Variable	Low-Fit	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
Hyperinsulinemia					
Model 1†		0.26	0.12-0.54*	0.20	0.09-0.44*
	Reference				
Model 2‡		0.35	0.16-0.78*	0.40	0.16-0.98*
High TC					
Model 1		1.42	0.71-2.84	0.89	0.44-1.79
	Reference				
Model 2		1.30	0.63-2.70	0.76	0.34-1.70
High TG					
Model 1		0.83	0.41-1.68	0.47	0.22-0.99*
	Reference				
Model 2		1.34	0.62-2.90	1.10	0.46-2.62
Low HDL-C					
Model 1		0.32	0.14-0.77*	0.17	0.06-0.48*
	Reference				
Model 2		0.35	0.14-0.86*	0.19	0.08-0.60*
Hypertension					
Model 1		0.56	0.28-1.14	0.31	0.15-0.66*
	Reference				
Model 2		0.79	0.37-1.69	0.56	0.24-1.34

NOTE. Values were derived from logistic regression model.

groups. However, no significant differences were recognized in the prevalence of high TC and high TG among these groups.

Analysis for the Prevalence of Metabolic Abnormalities in Different Fitness Groups

In order to investigate the association between fitness level and the prevalence of any abnormality in the risk factors, either including or excluding the effect of VFA, multivariate logistic regression analysis using the following 2 models were performed; model 1 was adjusted for age, and model 2 was adjusted for age and VFA (Table 3).

The ORs for the prevalence of hyperinsulinemia calculated by model 1 were significantly lower both in the mid-fit group (OR = 0.26, 95% CI, 0.12 to 0.54) and in the high-fit group (OR = 0.20, 95% CI, 0.09 to 0.44) than in the low-fit group. After performing calculations using model 2, the ORs were also significantly lower in the mid-fit group (OR = 0.35, 95% CI, 0.16 to 0.78) and in the high-fit group (OR = 0.40, 95% CI, 0.16 to 0.98) than in the low-fit group. Regarding the prevalence of low HDL-C level, the ORs obtained from model 1 were significantly lower in the mid-fit group (OR = 0.32, 95%CI, 0.14 to 0.77) and in the high-fit group (OR = 0.17, 95% CI, 0.06 to 0.48) than in the low-fit group. The ORs obtained from model 2 were still significantly lower in the mid-fit group (OR = 0.35, 95% CI, 0.14 to 0.86) and in the high-fit group (OR = 0.19, 95% CI, 0.08 to 0.60) compared with that in the low-fit group. Regarding the prevalence of high TG and hypertension, the ORs obtained by model 1 were significantly lower (OR = 0.47, 95% CI, 0.22 to 0.99; OR = 0.31, 95% CI, 0.15)to 0.66, respectively) in the high-fit group compared with the low-fit group, whereas these significances disappeared after analyzing by model 2 (OR = 1.10, 95% CI, 0.46 to 2.62; OR = 0.56, 95% CI, 0.24 to 1.34, respectively). In contrast, ORs for the prevalence of high TC obtained by both models showed no significance in any groups.

A significant difference was observed in the rate of the patients with regular exercise among the 3 groups (Table 2); we therefore calculated the ORs for the prevalence of metabolic abnormality both in the exercise- and non-exercise groups using the same models. However, no significant difference was recognized in the ORs in the exercise group compared with that in the non-exercise group.

DISCUSSION

It has remained unclear whether cardiorespiratory fitness contributes to the risk factors independent of visceral fat, because most such studies tend to discuss these 2 predictors separately. Even in recent prospective studies investigating the effect of cardiorespiratory fitness to the risk factors and mortality, 13-15 neither VFA nor waist circumference was determined. Therefore, the first original point in the present study was that the cardiorespiratory fitness and VFA were simultaneously evaluated, and the contribution of cardiovascular fitness independent of VFA was investigated in each risk factor. The second original point in this study was that the investigation described above was performed in IGT and type 2 DM patients with a higher level of VFA, without any pharmacological therapy and any intervention. The mean VFA of the patients in this study was 161.9 ± 55.4 cm², which is 60% higher than the criteria for abdominal obesity (VFA $\geq 100 \text{ cm}^2$) used by the Japan Society for the Study of Obesity.²⁷ According to this criteria, 86.5% of the patients were diagnosed to have abdominal obesity. It is therefore of interest to clarify whether or not cardiorespiratory fitness is independent of VFA for the prevalence of metabolic abnormalities in such patients.

A middle and high level of fitness was found to be significantly associated with a low prevalence of hyperinsulinemia and low HDL-C without adjusting for VFA. A low prevalence of high TG and hypertension was also significantly associated with a high level of fitness. In addition, a remarkably low prevalence of hyperinsulinemia was still associated with the middle and high levels of fitness after adjusting for VFA. Especially in the prevalence of low HDL-C, the OR was linearly decreased as the fitness level increased. These results suggest that having more than a moderate level of fitness might be associated with a lower risk of both hyperinsulinemia and low HDL-C independent of VFA even in patients with a relatively higher VFA. However, it was speculated that the prevalence of hypertension might depend on VFA.

It should be pointed out that the subjects in this study had different pathological states such as IGT and type 2 DM. We confirmed the pathology-related difference in age and some metabolic variables between IGT and type 2 DM groups in each sex. However, as indicated in Table 2, the percentage of IGT/type 2 DM was not significantly different among the 3 groups classified by fitness level; we then interpreted that an adjustment for pathological state in the logistic regression model was not necessary.

^{*}P < .05

[†]Model 1 was adjusted for age.

[‡]Model 2 was adjusted for age and VFA.

648 NAGANO ET AL

Several reports support our results. Helmrich et al²⁸ confirmed in a prospective study that physical activity had a protective effect on the occurrence of type 2 DM adjusted for obesity, hypertension, and a parental history of diabetes. In addition, Lynch et al²⁹ indicated that moderately intense physical activities (5.5 metabolic units or greater) and cardiorespiratory fitness levels of greater than 31.0 mL/kg/min had a protective effect against type 2 DM in middle-aged men. These prospective studies similarly concluded that the effect of cardiorespiratory fitness was particularly strong in men who were at high risk for developing the disease.

Regarding the prevalence of hyperinsulinemia, some reports agree with our results. According to a prospective community study,³⁰ physical activity and cardiovascular fitness level were inversely associated with fasting insulin concentrations adjusted for waist circumference and the other confounders in nondiabetic men. In addition, an interventional study conducted by Poehlman et al³¹ demonstrated that endurance training significantly enhanced glucose uptake without any change in VFA in non-obese women. Similar results in Japanese patients with type 2 DM were obtained in a study of aerobic and resistant programs, which found an improvement in insulin sensitivity without any significant change in BMI.³² Considering these previous reports and our results, a strong association between fasting insulin level and cardiorespiratory fitness might thus exist independent of VFA.

However, the lipid profile results are more complicated. In cross-sectional studies, Hunter et al^{33,34} showed that lipid profile was mainly associated with VFA, and slightly with physical activity. However, they did not determine cardiorespiratory fitness. Dvorak et al³⁵ indicated a significant association between lipid profile (TC, TG, TC to HDL-C ratio, and low-

density lipoprotein cholesterol) and cardiorespiratory fitness rather than physical activity determined by a doubly labeled water method. Because this result was not adjusted for waist circumference, it might have included the effect of visceral fat or other factors. In interventional studies for obese subjects, Tremblay et al³⁶ reported that although the subjects remained obese after the intervention, cardiovascular exercise training caused favorable changes in their lipid profiles. Our results thus seem to be partially supported by the study because a significant contribution of fitness independent of VFA was only seen in the prevalence of low HDL-C among the lipid metabolism-related parameters. As the present study was cross-sectional study, a larger sample size and prospective and interventional studies are needed to confirm the effects of cardiovascular fitness on lipid metabolism independent of VFA.

We should mention some of the limitations of the present study. As cardiorespiratory fitness was indirectly determined, some errors in $\dot{V}o_{2max}$ could not be avoided. In addition, because the distribution of $\dot{V}o_{2max}$ was relatively narrow in the patients, the range of classification in this study became narrow and slightly shifted to a lower fitness level when compared to Japanese standard values.

In summary, it was suggested that a favorable cardiorespiratory fitness profile might be one of the predictors for a low prevalence of metabolic abnormalities (especially in hyperinsulinemia and low HDL-C) independent of VFA in Japanese patients with IGT and type 2 DM.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We express our gratitude to all individuals who contributed to this study.

REFERENCES

- 1. Fujioka S, Matsuzawa Y, Tokunaga K, et al: Contribution of intra-abdominal fat accumulation to the impairment of glucose and lipid metabolism in human obesity. Metabolism 36:54-59, 1987
- 2. DeNino WF, Tchernof A, Dionne IJ, et al: Contribution of abdominal adiposity to age-related differences in insulin sensitivity and plasma lipids in healthy nonobese women. Diabetes Care 24:925-932, 2001
- 3. Nagaretani H, Nakamura T, Funahashi T, et al: Visceral fat is a major contributor for multiple risk factor clustering in Japanese men with impaired glucose tolerance. Diabetes Care 24:2127-2133, 2001
- 4. Reaven GM: Banting Lecture 1988. Role of insulin resistance in human disease. Diabetes 37:1595-1607, 1988
- 5. Kaplan NM: The deadly quartet. Upper-body obesity, glucose intolerance, hypertriglyceridemia, and hypertension. Arch Intern Med 149:1514-1520, 1989
- 6. Alberti KGMM, Zimmet PZ, for the WHO Consultation: Definition, diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus and its complications. Part 1: Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus, provisional report of a WHO consultation. Diabet Med 15: 539-553, 1998
- 7. Gautier JF, Mourier A, de Kerviler E, et al: Evaluation of abdominal fat distribution in noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: Relationship to insulin resistance. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 83:1306-1311, 1998
- 8. Fujimoto WY, Bergstrom RW, Leonetti DL, et al: Metabolic and adipose risk factors for NIDDM and coronary disease in third-genera-

- tion Japanese-American men and women with impaired glucose tolerance. Diabetologia 37:524-532, 1994
- 9. Boyko EJ, Fujimoto WY, Leonetti DL, et al: Visceral adiposity and risk of type 2 diabetes: A prospective study among Japanese Americans. Diabetes Care 23:465-471, 2000
- 10. Hayashi T, Boyko EJ, Leonetti DL, et al: Visceral adiposity and the risk of impaired glucose tolerance: A prospective study among Japanese Americans. Diabetes Care 26:650-655, 2003
- 11. Whaley MH, Kampert JB, Kohl HW 3rd, et al: Physical fitness and clustering of risk factors associated with the metabolic syndrome. Med Sci Sports Exerc 31:287-293, 1999
- 12. Carroll S, Cooke CB, Butterly RJ: Metabolic clustering, physical activity and fitness in nonsmoking, middle-aged men. Med Sci Sports Exerc 32:2079-2086, 2000
- 13. Wei M, Kampert JB, Barlow CE, et al: Relationship between low cardiorespiratory fitness and mortality in normal-weight, overweight, and obese men. JAMA 27:1547-1553, 1999
- 14. Wei M, Gibbons LW, Mitchell TL, et al: The association between cardiorespiratory fitness and impaired fasting glucose and type 2 diabetes mellitus in men. Ann Intern Med 130:89-96, 1999
- 15. Wei M, Gibbons LW, Kampert JB, et al: Low cardiorespiratory fitness and physical inactivity as predictors of mortality in men with type 2 diabetes. Ann Intern Med 132:605-611, 2000
- 16. Kumagai S, Tanaka H, Kitajima H, et al: Relationships of lipid and glucose metabolism with waist-hip ratio and physical fitness in obese men. Int J Obesity 17:437-440, 1993
 - 17. Brochu M, Tchernof A, Dionne IJ, et al: What are the physical

- characteristics associated with a normal metabolic profile despite a high level of obesity in postmenopausal women? J Clin Endocrinol Metab 86:1020-1025, 2001
- 18. Delvaux K, Philippaerts R, Lysens R, et al: Evaluation of the influence of cardiorespiratory fitness on diverse health risk factors, independent of waist circumference, in 40-year-old Flemish males. Obes Res 8:553-558, 2000
- 19. Batty GD, Shipley MJ, Marmot M, et al: Physical activity and cause-specific mortality in men with type 2 diabetes/impaired glucose tolerance: Evidence from the Whitehall study. Diabet Med 19:580-588, 2002
- 20. Kuzuya T, Nakagawa S, Satoh J, et al: Report of the Committee on the Classification and Diagnostic Criteria of Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 55:65-85, 2002
- 21. Brozek J, Henschel A: Techniques for Measuring Body Composition. Washington, DC, National Academy of Science-National Research Council, 1961, p 300
- 22. Tokunaga K, Matsuzawa Y, Ishikawa K, et al: A novel technique for the determination of body fat by computed tomography. Int J Obesity 7:437-445, 1983
- 23. Åstrand PO, Rhyming I: A nomogram for calculation of the aerobic capacity (physical fitness) from pulse rate during submaximal work. J Appl Physiol 7:218-221, 1954
- 24. Hata Y, Mabuchi H, Saito Y, et al: Report of the Japan Atherosclerosis Society (JAS) Guidelines for Diagnosis and Treatment of Hyperlipidemia in Japanese Adults. J Atheroscler Thromb 9:1-27, 2002 (in Japanese)
- 25. Saruta T: The Japanese new guideline for the management of hypertension: Background of its preparation and characteristics of the new guideline. Nippon Rinsho 59:837-840, 2001 (in Japanese)
- 26. Tamakoshi K, Yatsuya H, Kondo T, et al: The metabolic syndrome is associated with elevated circulating C-reactive protein in healthy reference range, a systemic low-grade inflammatory state. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 27:443-449, 2003

- 27. Matsuzawa Y, Inoue S, Ikeda Y, et al: The new criteria for obesity and diagnostic criteria for syndrome of obesity. J Jpn Soc Study Obesity 6:18-28, 2000 (in Japanese)
- 28. Helmrich SP, Ragland DR, Leung RW, et al: Physical activity and reduced occurrence of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med 325:147-152, 1991
- 29. Lynch J, Helmrich SP, Lakka TA, et al: Moderately intense physical activities and high levels of cardiorespiratory fitness reduce the risk of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus in middle-aged men. Arch Intern Med 156:1307-1314, 1996
- 30. Kriska AM, Hanley AJ, Harris SB, et al: Physical activity, physical fitness, and insulin and glucose concentrations in an isolated native Canadian population experiencing rapid lifestyle change. Diabetes Care 24:1787-1792, 2001
- 31. Poehlman ET, Dvorak RV, DeNino WF, et al: Effects of resistance training and endurance training on insulin sensitivity in nonobese, young women: A controlled randomized trial. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 85:2463-2468, 2000
- 32. Taniguchi A, Fukushima M, Sakai M, et al: Effect of physical training on insulin sensitivity in Japanese type 2 diabetetic patients: Role of serum triglyceride levels. Diabetes Care 23:857-858, 2000
- 33. Hunter GR, Kekes-Szabo T, Treuth MS, et al: Intra-abdominal adipose tissue, physical activity and cardiovascular risk in pre- and post-menopausal women. Int J Obesity 20:860-865, 1996
- 34. Hunter GR, Kekes-Szabo T, Snyder SW, et al: Fat distribution, physical activity, and cardiovascular risk factors. Med Sci Sports Exerc 29:362-369, 1997
- 35. Dvorak RV, Tchernof A, Starling RD, et al: Respiratory fitness, free living physical activity, and cardiovascular disease risk in older individuals: A doubly labeled water study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 85:957-963, 2000
- 36. Tremblay A, Doucet E, Imbeault P, et al: Metabolic fitness in active reduced-obese individuals. Obes Res 7:556-563, 1999